

Information from the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar
This English translation is for information purposes
only and is not legally binding.

ACADEMIC REGULATIONS

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The President <input type="checkbox"/> The Chancellor	Examination Regulations for the Consecutive Degree Programme Digital Engineering leading to a Master of Science	Issue 14/2023			
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Comp. Dept./Unit</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Phone</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: none;">Faculty of Civil Engineering / Faculty of Meda</td> <td style="border: none;">4415 / 3708</td> </tr> </table>	Comp. Dept./Unit	Phone	Faculty of Civil Engineering / Faculty of Meda	4415 / 3708
Comp. Dept./Unit	Phone				
Faculty of Civil Engineering / Faculty of Meda	4415 / 3708				

Pursuant to Section 3(1) in conjunction with Section 38(3) of the Thuringian Higher Education Act (ThürHG) dated 10 May 2018 (Thuringian legal notices – GVBl p. 149), last amended by Article 1 of the act dated 07 December 2022 (Thuringian legal notices – GVBl p.

483), the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar issues the following examination regulations based on the examination regulations for the Digital Engineering degree programme leading to a Master of Science (M.Sc.) qualification. The boards of the Faculties of Media and Civil Engineering adopted the examination regulations on 11 January 2023.

The regulations were approved by the President of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar on 3 March 2023.

Contents

- § 1 Purpose of the examination
- § 2 Academic title
- § 3 Scope and structure of the degree programme
- § 4 Examination structure
- § 5 Deadlines
- § 6 Compensation for disadvantages § 7 Examination committee
- § 8 Examiners and assessors
- § 9 Recognition of study periods as well as coursework and examinations
- § 10 Absence, withdrawal, deception, violation of regulations
- § 11 Scope and type of examinations
- § 12 Written examinations and other written work
- § 13 Oral examinations
- § 14 Assessment and weighting of examinations and grading
- § 15 Passing and failing
- § 16 Repeating module examinations
- § 17 Projects
- § 18 Studying abroad
- § 19 Master module
- § 20 Assignment of the Master’s thesis

- § 21 Acceptance and assessment of the Master's thesis
- § 22 Repeating the Master's thesis
- § 23 Certificate and Master's certificate
- § 24 Invalidity of examinations
- § 25 Reviewing the examination results
- § 26 Appeal process
- § 27 Equal opportunity clause
- § 28 Entry into effect and expiry
- § 1 – Purpose of the examination

Through the examinations and the defence of the Master's thesis, students are to prove that they have acquired in-depth specialist knowledge in the field of digital engineering and possess the skills to work scientifically.

§ 2 – Academic title

Once students have passed the Master's examination, the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar awards the academic title of Master of Science (M. Sc.) on the recommendation of the Faculties of Media and Civil Engineering.

§ 3 – Scope and structure of the degree programme

- (1) The standard study period is four semesters.
- (2) The Master's degree programme comprises modules totalling 120 credits (credits). The study regulations shall be designed in such a way that the Master's degree programme can be completed in four semesters with the examinations, the Master's thesis and its defence.

§ 4 – Examination structure

- (1) The Master's examination covers the Master's degree module examinations and the Master's thesis, including its preparatory research and defence.
- (2) Modules conclude with examinations, on the basis of which credits are awarded. Module examinations are conducted during the degree programme and are usually concluded with an examination.

§ 5 – Deadlines

- (1) Students must pass the Master's examination by the end of the 7th study semester. After that, the candidate has lost their examination entitlement, unless they are not responsible for the failure to pass the examination. For part-time students, this deadline is extended accordingly.
- (2) A written or oral examination can only be taken if a candidate registers for the examination. The examination committee sets the deadlines for registration. The candidate can withdraw from the examination up to four working days before the examination. Students register and deregister at the responsible examination office. An examination is deemed to be »not sufficient« (grade 5.0) if the candidate has not deregistered in time and does not appear without a valid reason, or if they withdraw from the examination after the examination starts without providing an appropriate excuse. The same consequences apply if an assignment, essay or term paper is not completed on time.

§ 6 – Compensating for disadvantage

- (1) Students may apply for compensation for disadvantages. The disadvantage must be credible, hence a doctor's note may be required or, in justified individual cases, an official medical certificate.
- (2) Support and advice for chronically ill and disadvantaged students, including all questions relating to potential compensation for disadvantage, are provided by general advising as well as by Studierendenwerk Thüringen.
- (3) The teaching and learning formats used in degree programmes must take into account the specific requirements of students who are restricted in their opportunities for organising their studies. Students must not experience any disadvantages from utilising maternity, parental or care leave. The departmental academic advisor can advise on this.
- (4) The responsible examination committee shall decide on the compensation for disadvantages based on the student's application. The student may propose a particular form of compensation. The request is to be made in writing, the decision is to be notified in writing, and any refusal is to be justified in writing.

§ 7 – Examination committee

- (1) Members of the Faculties of Media and Civil Engineering form an examination committee to carry out the tasks assigned by these examination regulations.
- (2) The Faculty Boards of Media and Civil Engineering appoint the members of the examination committee, the chair and the chair's deputy.
- (3) The examination committee consists of three representatives of the group of professors, one representative of the group of scientific employees and one representative of the group of students. The chair should preferably be a professor of a joint professorship of the two faculties. The boards of the Faculties of Media and Civil Engineering appoint this professor, their deputy, and the other members of the examination committee.
- (4) Resolutions are passed by the examination committee by a majority vote. The examination committee can pass resolutions if the majority of its members, including the chair or their deputy, are present and an absolute majority is ensured. In decisions with an equal number of votes, the final decision is made by the elected chair.
- (5) The meetings of the examination committee are not public. The members of the examination committee are bound to maintain confidentiality. If they are not in public service, they shall be obliged by the chair to maintain confidentiality.
- (6) The examination committee shall ensure that the provisions of the examination regulations are complied with. It shall regularly report to the boards of the Faculties of Media and Civil Engineering on the development of the examinations and study periods and make suggestions for reforming the study and examination regulations.
- (7) At the beginning of each semester, the examination committee determines the period for taking the oral and written examinations and announces the dates.

(8) The members of the examination committee have the right to participate in the approval of the examinations.

§ 8 Examiners and assessors

(1) The examination committee appoints the examiners and assessors. Persons in accordance with § 54 Para 2 of the Thuringian Higher Education Act (ThürHG) are entitled to take examinations.

(2) If required by the purpose and nature of the examination, experienced persons from professional practice and training may also be appointed as examiners if they possess at least the qualification awarded for the examination or an equivalent qualification.

(3) The chair of the examination committee must inform the candidate of the name of the examiner in good time.

(4) The examiners and assessors are obliged to maintain confidentiality.

§ 9 – Recognition of study periods as well as coursework and examinations

(1) Study times, coursework, examinations and practical semesters that were provided at another domestic, international or internationally recognised university or other degree programmes from the same university are to be credited if there is no significant difference in terms of the competencies gained (learning results). The examination committee decides on recognition.

(2) If coursework and examinations are recognised in situations in which grading systems are comparable, the grades are to be transferred and included in the calculation of the overall grade. The grade »passed« is used for grade systems that are not comparable. A grade of »passed« may be recognised on the certificate.

(3) If the requirements in Para 1 are met, there is a legal right to recognition. The candidate must submit the documents required for recognition.

(4) Rejections must be justified in writing. The department conducting the assessment is responsible for proving that an application does not meet the necessary requirements.

(5) Knowledge and skills acquired outside of university settings can be recognised if they are equivalent in terms of content and on the same level as the coursework and examinations that they are to replace. They may replace a maximum of half of the degree's assigned credits. The examination committee decides on recognition. Recognition must be assigned to the modules and shown in the student's transcript of records. Non-recognition must be justified in writing. Applications for recognition can only be made after enrolment. Applications are typically processed within four weeks. The decision on whether the achievements of the student outside of the university are equivalent to the examinations that are to be replaced is made on a case-by-case basis using the documents presented by the student such as work samples, certificates, subject descriptions, teaching plans and so on, which typically must be less than five years old. The student is responsible for providing proof of equivalence.

§ 10 – Absence, withdrawal, deception, violation of regulations

(1) An examination is considered to be »not sufficient« if the candidate is absent for an examination without a valid reason or if they withdraw from the examination after the examination starts without

providing an appropriate excuse. This also applies if they do not submit a written examination by the stated deadline.

(2) The student must notify the examination committee in writing, without delay, of the reasons for withdrawing or failing to attend. This notification should be done within a maximum of three working days, as a rule, and the reasons provided must be credible. If the candidate is ill, a doctor's certificate and, in justified cases, an official medical certificate must be provided stating that the candidate is unable to take part in the examination. If the justification is accepted, a new date is set, usually the next scheduled examination date. The examination results already in place must be offset in this case.

(3) If a candidate attempts to influence the result of an examination by deception or the use of impermissible resources, this examination is deemed to be »failed« (grade 5.0). A candidate who disrupts the proper process of the examination may be excluded from continuing the examination by the relevant examiner or supervisor. In this case the relevant examination is deemed to be »failed« (5.0).

(4) The candidate may request that the decisions under Para 3 Sentence 1 and 2 be checked by the examination committee within four weeks of notification of the examination result. The candidate must be notified by the examination committee in writing, without delay and with justification of any adverse decisions and must be provided with information on legal remedies.

§ 11 – Scope and type of examinations

(1) Examinations make take place in the form of:

1. Written examinations and other written work (§ 12) and/or
2. Oral examinations (§ 13)

Coursework such as assignments, essays, course attendance certificates and projects is generally the preliminary work in preparation for examinations. The module supervisor determines the coursework and examinations to be taken and announces them in the module catalogue before the course starts.

(2) Suitable types of examinations may also be carried out in the form of group work. The contribution of the individual to the examination to be graded must be clearly defined and assessed separately. The group should generally not consist of more than three students.

(3) The candidate has the right to know the assessment or the grade for an examination no later than 8 weeks after they take the respective examination.

§ 12 – Written examinations and other written work

(1) In the written examinations, the candidate must demonstrate the ability to recognize a problem, work on it in a reflective and analytical manner and find solutions within a limited amount of time and with the aids specified by the examiner. The processing time for a written examination is approximately 30 minutes per credit, but no more than four hours in total.

(2) In the other written work, the candidate should demonstrate that they can:

- Define problems systematically and analytically
- Develop methods for handling problems
- Comprehensively discuss and develop possible solutions
- Develop and use tools
- Integrate the existing body of knowledge into a relevant context

- Interpret the results, condense them into relevant conclusions and present them in a comprehensible scientific form. You can combine the written work with an oral presentation and, if necessary, supplement or replace it with other appropriate and suitable forms of presentation.

(3) Written examinations and other written work are generally to be assessed by two examiners, one of whom should be a university lecturer.

(4) Written examinations and other written work using multiple-choice questions are excluded.

(5) The examination committee may agree to the use of electronic examinations as a substitution for written examinations, provided the appropriate technical conditions are in place to ensure a fair and comprehensible examination. An electronic examination is not a written examination but is processed on a computer. Multiple-choice questions are not permitted in electronic examinations.

Prior to participating in an electronic examination, students are to be given the opportunity to familiarise themselves with the software that will be used in the examination.

Fully automated assessment of an e-exam without human participation is not permitted. Rather, the examiner always carries out the assessment of an e-exam. Electronic examinations must take place under supervision by a competent individual who is also responsible for taking the minutes of the examination. Electronic data must be clearly and permanently assigned to the candidate. The candidate must be granted access to exam results in accordance with the general regulations.

Electronic examinations may only be taken using IT systems (hardware and software) that belong to the university administration or have been approved for these purposes by the Service Centre for Computer Systems and Communication (SCC) Data protection laws must be upheld.

§ 13 – Oral examinations

(1) In oral examinations, the candidate should demonstrate that they recognise the interrelationships of the examination area and are able to contextualise special questions in these interrelationships. The oral examinations also aim to determine if the candidate possesses broad basic knowledge.

(2) If possible, students should take oral examinations before at least two examiners (collegial examination). If this is not possible, the examination will be conducted before one examiner in the presence of an expert assessor as a group examination or as an individual examination. In the case of an individual examination, one of the examiners must be a professor or junior professor.

(3) The duration of the oral examinations shall range from a minimum of 15 minutes to a maximum of 60 minutes.

(4) The key objects and results of the oral examinations should be recorded in a protocol. The candidate is to be given their result immediately after the oral examination.

(5) Students who wish to take the same examination at a later date shall be admitted as listeners to an oral examination, depending on the space available, unless the candidate objects. Admission as a listener does not extend to the consultation and announcement of the examination results to the candidate.

§ 14 – Assessment and weighting of examinations and grading

(1) The relevant examiner sets the grades for the individual examinations. The following grades are to be used to assess the individual examinations:

1.0 to 1.5	very good	an outstanding achievement
------------	-----------	----------------------------

1.6 to 2.5	good	an achievement which lies substantially above average requirements
2.6 to 3.5	satisfactory	an achievement which corresponds to average requirements
3.6 to 4.0	sufficient	an achievement which barely meets the requirements
over 4.0	not sufficient	an achievement which does not meet the requirements

- (2) To differentiate the grading of the examination, the teacher can raise or lower individual grades in the assessment range between 1.0 and 4.0 by tenths of a grade.
- (3) If a module examination consists of several examinations, the grade is calculated from the arithmetic mean of the grades of the individual examinations, with a weighting then carried out with the credits awarded for the individual achievements. When calculating the examination grades, only the first decimal place after the comma is taken into account, and all other decimal places are disregarded.
- (4) A final mark is given for the Master's examination. The final mark of the Master's examination is calculated as the arithmetic mean of the grades of all module examinations and the Master's module, weighted with the credits awarded for the modules. The second digit after the decimal point is taken into account; all further digits are ignored without rounding. In the case of outstanding achievement, the final assessment »With distinction« is awarded if both examiners have graded both the Master's thesis and the defence with 1.0. The arithmetic mean of the module grades of the degree programme weighted according to the credits of the respective modules may not be worse than 1.3 and none of the grades of the examinations may be worse than 2.3.
- (5) The German grades are supplemented by an ECTS grade using the following scheme:

ECTS grade	Proportion of successful students who receive this grade on average
A	the top 10%
B	the next 25%
C	the next 30%
D	the next 25%
E	the next 10%

The ECTS grade of F is awarded for failed examinations.

§ 15 – Passing and failing

- (1) A module examination is successfully completed if the module grade is at least »sufficient«. If a module examination exceptionally consists of several partial examinations, all partial examinations must

have been assessed with at least »sufficient«. Failed partial examinations are to be repeated in accordance with § 16.

(2) In a module examination consisting of different sub-areas, the sub-areas are not individually assessed. Para 1 Sentence 1 applies.

(3) The Master's examination is passed if all module examinations of the Master's examination including the research, the Master's thesis and its defence are passed.

(4) If the candidate does not pass the Master's examination, on request and on presentation of the relevant documents, they are issued with a study certificate that contains the examinations provided and their grades and indicates that the Master's examination was failed.

§ 16 – Repeating module examinations

(1) Module examinations or partial examinations that have not been passed must be repeated.

(2) If the candidate fails the second repeat examination, the examination is considered conclusively failed.

(3) Retaking a passed module examination or partial examination is not permitted.

(4) All students are obliged to repeat failed module examinations within a maximum of two semesters. The second repeat examination of required modules (see Study Regulations § 6 Para 4) takes place in the same semester as the first repeat examination and can be taken orally if necessary. The examination committee shall decide on exceptions.

(5) The following special rule applies to part-time students. Anyone who is registered as a part-time student in a semester and would have to repeat one or more failed examinations in that semester is obliged to take at least one repeat examination, provided that no project was taken in full in that semester (see § 17 Para 6). For all other failed examinations, the obligation to repeat the examination is postponed by one semester.

§ 17 – Projects

(1) As part of their studies, students must participate in a project. To be eligible for project participation, a student must have earned a minimum of 18 ECTS credits in the Fundamentals area.

(2) Projects must be completed by the end of the semester.

(3) Insofar as the final presentation is held so it is open to the public or open to the faculty, it may also be held in the following month with the prior consent of the student in order to enable the widest possible public to participate in the final presentation.

(4) If, at the end of the semester, a final report is available that has been graded as sufficient at a minimum, but that does not meet the editorial standard of a scientific publication, students who have completed an achievement in the project that has been graded as sufficient at a minimum by then will be given the opportunity to make appropriate editorial corrections to the final report in order to improve their grade if necessary. The student is prohibited from making changes to the content. Corrections must be completed no later than four weeks after submission of the original final report.

(5) Apart from the exceptions under (3) and (4), only achievements that have been completed by the end of the semester may be counted towards the grading of the project.

- (6) For part-time students, the project duration can be extended to two semesters. In this case, 50% of the credits are credited in each of the two semesters. The rules outlined in Para 2 to 5 apply following the completion of the second project semester.
- (7) Students can register for a project on a date set by the examination committee at the beginning of each semester. When assigning projects or assigning students to projects, the students' wishes are to be appropriately taken into account.
- (8) A candidate may withdraw from a project assigned to them once within the first eight weeks without giving reasons. In the case of a later withdrawal, or if the right of withdrawal has already been exercised once, the project will be graded as »not sufficient«, unless the candidate can claim valid reasons for the withdrawal. A second repetition is permitted upon request. The decision is reached by the examination committee.

§ 18 – Studying abroad

- (1) A »Learning Agreement«, which is checked by the academic advisor, must be concluded beforehand for the recognition of academic work at an international university. The student and academic advisor agree on the type and scope of recognition as well as the academic work and examinations to complete. Upon their return, the student must submit their Learning Agreement again together with the Transcript of Records (detailed list of the courses attended specifying the corresponding credits as well as the marks achieved) to the academic advisor without delay; then there will be recognition and, if necessary, conversion of the grades.
- (2) Academic work performed and examinations taken during a semester abroad can be credited as a substitute for a project, even if they were not earned as part of a project. The examination committee makes decisions regarding recognition.

§ 19 – Master module

- (1) Within the framework of the Master's module, the candidate shall show that they are able to independently use scientific methods to define, recognise, develop and solve a problem from their subject area within a given period of time. Three individual achievements are required: Preparatory research (ungraded), the writing of the Master's thesis itself and the defence of the Master's thesis.
- (2) As part of the preparatory research, which should take place in the semester before the Master's thesis, the candidate works on a topic and presents the results of this work to their supervisor. The candidate should demonstrate a strong understanding of the current state of scientific knowledge in the subject area and be able to formulate a meaningful problem. Before starting the preparatory research, the candidate and the supervisor conclude a supervision agreement that includes, in particular, what the candidate must achieve in order to pass the preparatory research stage. The research corresponds to a student workload of 3 credits and is then included in the Master's thesis.
- (3) Each individual mentioned in § 8 Para 1 has the right to determine the subject areas for preliminary research and to assign Master's theses, as well as to provide guidance for and supervision of such theses and to grade them. A Master's thesis and the preparatory research implemented in an organisation outside the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar requires the consent of the examination committee. Further authorisations may be applied for and granted by a decision of the examination committee.
- (4) Group work can also be permitted for Master's theses if the individual students' contribution to be assessed is clearly distinguishable and assessable based on the submission of sections, page numbers or other objective criteria that enable a clear differentiation and fulfil the requirements as per § 15 (1).

(5) The grading of the Master's module is based on two components: the grade for the Master's thesis, which carries a weight of 80%, and the grade for the presentation along with its corresponding defence, which carries a weight of 20%.

(6) The Master's thesis must be written and defended in English. Upon application to the examination committee, the Master's thesis can also be written and defended in German.

§ 20 – Assignment of the Master's thesis

(1) Students who successfully acquire at least 78 credits in this degree programme, including passing the project, are eligible to proceed to the Master's thesis.

(2) The Master's thesis must be registered in writing with the examination office of the Faculty of Media. The registration should include:

1. Proof of having passed the examinations
2. Proof of successful completion of the preparatory research and a proposal for the topic of the Master's thesis
3. Proposals for the first and second examiners
4. The written consent of the proposed first examiner to supervise the candidate.
5. Proof of English language skills at level C1 of the CEFR according to § 7 Para 2 of the study regulations for this degree programme.
6. Proof of German language skills at level A1 of the CEFR according to § 7 Para 3 of the study regulations for this degree programme.

(3) The first examiner assigns the topic after completing the preparatory research and informs the examination committee of the topic and time of assignment. The date of assignment must be recorded. The first examiner is responsible for the academic supervision of the candidate during the preparation of the work.

(4) A total of 16 weeks are set for working on the Master's thesis. Upon request, the examination committee may extend the duration of work on the Master's thesis to 32 weeks for part-time students. The subject, topic and scope of the work must be limited by the first examiner in such a way that the deadline set for the work can be met.

(5) The examination committee may permit an extension of the completion time if reasons beyond the student's control make an extension of the preparation time necessary. The examination committee may, upon justified request, extend the time for working on the Master's thesis on a case-by-case basis if it is necessary for reasons related to the topic of the thesis. The maximum time for working on a Master's thesis should not exceed 24 weeks. If this period is exceeded for reasons for which the candidate is not responsible, the work must be discontinued and a new topic must be assigned. In this scenario, the examination attempt is considered to have not been taken. In case of illness, the examination committee will grant an extension for working on the Master's thesis to the extent deemed necessary.

§ 21 – Submission and assessment of the Master's thesis

(1) The Master's thesis must be submitted by the deadline. The date of submission is to be recorded.

(2) The test candidate must confirm at the time of submission that they independently wrote their work – or, in the case of group work, the contribution to the group work indicated – and did not use any sources or resources other than those indicated.

- (3) It is required that three hard copies of the Master's thesis be submitted in writing. In addition to the hard copies, a digital version of the thesis must also be provided.
- (4) One copy of the Master's thesis, including the digital version, becomes the property of the Bauhaus Universität Weimar and can be destroyed after one year following completion of the examination process. The Bauhaus-Universität Weimar is granted the unlimited and unrestricted right to use the Master's thesis in full or in part in any media for non-commercial purposes, provided that the author is named. The copyright claims of the author are otherwise unaffected by this clause.
- (5) Two examiners must assess the Master's thesis. The written work must be assessed after 8 weeks at the latest. The thesis is then defended in a presentation lasting about thirty minutes and a discussion. The candidate can only be admitted to the defence if they have completed all work that accompanies the degree programme. The defence shall have the character of an oral examination; § 13 (Oral examinations) shall apply accordingly.
- (6) If both examiners assess the written work submitted as »not sufficient« (5.0), the Master's module is deemed to have been »failed« and the candidate is not admitted to the defence. If one examiner assesses the written work as »not sufficient« (5.0) and the other examiner does not, another examiner must be appointed. If the third examiner also assesses the thesis as »not sufficient« (5.0), the Master's module is deemed to have been »failed« and the candidate is not admitted to the defence. If the third examiner assesses the thesis as »passed«, the Master's thesis shall be considered passed overall (4.0).

§ 22 – Repeating the Master's thesis

- (1) The preparatory research can be repeated as often as desired. The Master's thesis and its defence can be repeated once if graded as »not sufficient«. It is not possible to give back the topic of a Master's thesis.
- (2) A second repeat of the Master's thesis and its defence is not possible.

§ 23 – Certificate and Master's certificate

- (1) If the candidate successfully defends their Master's thesis, they will receive a certificate. The grades of the modules as well as the topic of the Master's thesis and its grade as well as the completed credits are included on the certificate.
- (2) The certificate bears the date that the last examination was completed.
- (3) The Bauhaus-Universität Weimar shall issue a diploma supplement (DS) in German and English.
- (4) At the same time as the Master's examination certificate, the examination candidate receives the Master's certificate in German and English with the date of the certificate. This certifies the awarding of the academic title.
- (5) The certificate is signed by the deans of the Faculties of Media and Civil Engineering and the chair of the examination committee and bears the seal of the university.

§ 24 – Invalidity of the examinations

(1) If the candidate has cheated on the examination and this fact only becomes known after the certificate has been issued, the examination committee may subsequently correct the grades for those examinations in which the candidate cheated and declare the examination wholly or partially failed.

(2) If a candidate unintentionally fails to meet the requirements for taking a module examination and this is discovered only after the certificate has been issued, the candidate will be allowed to remedy this deficiency by successfully passing the module examination. If a candidate for an examination intentionally obtains the right to take a module examination through dishonest means, the examination committee will make a decision regarding the matter.

(3) The examination candidate must be given the opportunity to make a statement before a decision is reached.

(4) An incorrect certificate shall be withdrawn and a new one issued as necessary. The Master's certificate and diploma supplement are to be withdrawn along with the incorrect certificate. A decision pursuant to Para 1 and Para 2 sentence 2 is ruled out after a period of five years from the date on the certificate.

§ 25 – Reviewing the examination results

Within one year of the conclusion of the examination, the student is to be granted access to their written examinations, the examiners' reports on these and the examination protocols within a reasonable period upon request.

§ 26 – Appeal process

(1) Decisions to reject an application reached according to these examination regulations must be made in writing, justified and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal. An appeal may be lodged with the examination committee within one month of receipt of the decision.

(2) If the appeal relates to an examiner's assessment, the examination committee shall forward the appeal to the examiner in question for review. If the examiner amends their decision in accordance with the request, the examination committee shall approve the appeal.

Otherwise, the examination committee shall review whether its decision:

1. was based on incorrect assumptions or irrelevant considerations;
2. infringes generally recognised principles of assessment standards;
3. infringes legal regulations;
4. infringes general principles of life experience. They shall then inform the student of the outcome of their appeal.

(3) If the appeal relates to a decision of the examination committee, both deans shall together make a final decision upon hearing from the examination committee if the examination committee does not approve the appeal.

(4) A decision is to be made on the appeal at the earliest possible opportunity. If the appeal is not approved, this decision must be justified and information provided on the appeal procedure.

§ 27 – Equality opportunity clause

Designations made under these regulations apply equally to all genders.

§ 28 – Entry into effect and expiry

These regulations go into effect on the first date of the month following their announcement by the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar. They apply first to students who start their degree programme in the 2023/2024 winter semester.

Faculty board resolution dated 11 January 2023

Prof. Dr. Lorenz Engell
Dean of the Faculty of Media

Faculty board resolution dated 11 January 2023

Prof. Dr. Tom Lahmer
Dean of the Faculty of Civil Engineering

The regulations are subject to approval.

Dr. Steffi Heine
Legal Adviser

Approved on 3 March 2023

Peter Benz, President